

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,216	ARAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anitza M. San Miguel	3733	

All Participants:

(1) Anitza M. San Miguel.

(2) Eduardo C. Robert.
Status of Application: _____

(3) Paul J. Maginot.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 November 2006
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Election/Restriction for claims 1-35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner requested an election/restriction for the aforementioned application. A provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21 and 30-36

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)